UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,383	03/30/2005	Isabella Venturini	163-571	6564
	7590 02/06/2007 OSTIGAN P.C.		EXAMINER	
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			CUTLIFF, YATE KAI RENE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1609	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D.	AYS	02/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/510,383	VENTURINI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yate K. Cutliff	1609				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	.*.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	/30/20 <u>05</u> .					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits it						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according a specific and a specific	ccepted or b) objected to by the I are drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Select and Textorest Office.						

Application/Control Number: 10/510,383

Art Unit: 1609

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, Claim 5, in part, drawn to the compound and composition thereof of formula (I) where Z is CH and Y is OCH<sub>3</sub>, and wherein A,  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ ,  $X_5$ , and n as defined in Claim 1.

Group II, Claim 5 in part, drawn to the compound and composition thereof of formula (I) where Z is N and Y is OCH<sub>3</sub>, and wherein A,  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ ,  $X_5$ , and n as defined in Claim 1.

Group III, Claim 5 in part, drawn to the compound and composition thereof of formula (I) where Z is N and Y is  $NH_2$ , and wherein A,  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ ,  $X_5$ , and n as defined in Claim 1.

Claims 1-4 and 21-23 links inventions of Groups I - III

Group IV, Claims 6-10 in part, drawn to the process of preparing the compound of formula (I) of Group I.

Group V, Claims 6-10 in part, drawn to the process of preparing the compound of formula (I) of Group II

Art Unit: 1609

Group VI, Claims 6-10 in part, drawn to the process of preparing the compound of formula (I) of Group III.

Group VII, Claim 14 in part, drawn to the method of using the compounds of Group I, and linked with the inventions of Claims 11-13 and 15-20.

Group VIII, Claims 14 in part, drawn to the method of using the compounds of Group II, and linked with the inventions of Claim 11-13 and 15-20.

Group IX, Claims 14 in part, drawn to the method of using the compounds of Group III, and linked with the inventions of Claims 11-13 and 15-20.

Clams 11-13 and 15 –20 links inventions of Groups VII - IX

Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species within the elected group and to identify a definition of A.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I – IX above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

Each of Groups I – IX contain the genus of formula (I), which is the significant structural element that is shared by all of the analogous compounds. However, U.S. Patent No. 5,545,664, issued August 13, 1996 teaches the following general formula:

Application/Control Number: 10/510,383

Art Unit: 1609

$$R^{1}O - N = CH2$$

$$R^{2}$$

$$R^{3}$$

$$O = C$$

$$Y = R^{6}$$

The above formula for U.S. Patent No. 5,545,664, includes the features of applicant's genus for formula (I). Specifically, formula (I) of applicants invention is lacking novelty because it reads on U.S. Patent 5,545, 664, when (A)n is a halogen,  $C_1$ - $C_4$  alkyl, haloalkyl, alkoxyl, haloalkoxy and n is greater than or equal to 2, Z is N, Y is NH<sub>2</sub>,  $X_4$  or  $X_5$  is a halogen or hydrogen, and  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  as defined by R. U.S. Patent 5,545,664 is factual evidence that the technical feature linking each of the inventions is no longer considered a special technical feature at the time of the filing of applicant's application. Because applicant's genus of formula (I), is known in the art it is not a special technical feature and the claims of Groups I – IX lack unity of invention a posteriori.

Accordingly, Groups I – IX are not so linked by the same corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Notice of Possible Rejoinder

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yate K. Cutliff whose telephone number is (571) 272-9067. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:30 p.m. to 5:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on (571) 272 - 0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,383 Page 7

Art Unit: 1609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yaté K. Cutliff Patent Examiner Art Unit 1609, Group Technology Center 1600

Cecilia J. Tsang
Supprvisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600